Traditional diversion and water distribution structures

- divert ephemeral rivers using only local materials and indigenous skills
- relatively high overall water diversion efficiency
- the high labour inputs needed to re-build the structures
- environmental problems resulting from unsustainable use of trees and brushwood
Disappointing performance attributed to:

- An increased inequity of water distribution
- Command and diversion problems due to high rates of sediment deposition
- Reduced the WUAs/farmers’ role in diverting and distributing water
- Unrealistic assumptions concerning levels and costs of operation and maintenance
- Failures to achieve an expected increase in irrigated area
What we have learnt

For engineering successful interventions:
- replicate as far as possible traditional diversion practices
- reflect time commitments and technical knowledge of the farmers
- facilitate the control of large flood
- replicate water distribution in line with accepted rules and rights
What we have learnt

- ensure a right balance between the needs of different water uses and users
- improve the effectiveness of the systems to function with high rates of sediment transport
- improve the ability to cope with frequent and large changes to the levels and alignments
Designing a Spate System

- Diversion structures (intakes);
- Spate canals and water control/dividing structures;
- and
- Bank protection and Wadi training structures.
The advantages of traditional intakes include:

**Flexibility** •
- Appropriate and low cost
- Relatively efficient in water use and sharing between users
- Restrict diversion of high flows with high sediment loads
Improved Intakes

- More durable diversion spurs and division groynes;
- Improved diversion bunds and check weirs;
- Controlling the flows admitted to canals;
- Basic gated or orifice control intakes; and
- Rejection spillways.
Intake Capacity

- a limited number of major diversion structures
- large new canals that connect into and traverse the existing traditional canal network
- increase in the inequity between upstream and downstream users’
Intake Capacity

- conventional economic analyses
- are insufficient to meet the requirements of the previously commanded areas
- design duties too low
- numerous intakes and canals reveals consolidation into one system supplied
- An overall Water User Association
Improved diversion structures

- Raised weir;
- Gated scour or under sluice;
- Gated canal head regulator; and
- Guide or divide wall.

- divert the maximum possible amount of water
- capacities per unit area being 10 to 20 times perennial irrigation schemes
Canals in Spate Schemes

- traditional systems are diverted to short, steep canals
- split flows to reduce flood discharges to manageable flow rates
- Gates not used; control of flows by proportional dividers/farmer management
New/improved canal structures

- Check and drop structures;
- Flow splitting structures;
- Field offtakes; and
- In-field structures
Bank protection and Wadi training

- High flow velocities during spates often erode Wadi banks
- Meander patterns to develop and migrate downstream
- Wadi beds can be significantly lowered during the passage of large floods
- Traditional intakes to be left stranded
● Providing engineered structures to control bed levels
● Farmers give bank protection work a high priority
● training and back protection works that cause damage elsewhere
● River training and bank protection approached in a holistic manner